# AMENDED IN SENATE MAY 10, 2005 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 18, 2005

### SENATE BILL

No. 393

### **Introduced by Senator Ortiz**

February 17, 2005

An act to amend Section 26909 of, to add Section 26909.5 to, and to add Chapter 7.5 (commencing with Section 60210) to Division 1 of Title 6 of, the Government Code, relating to special districts, and making an appropriation therefor.

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 393, as amended, Ortiz. Special districts.

(1) Existing law requires the county auditor to either make or contract for an annual audit of the accounts and records of every special purpose district within the county for which an audit is not otherwise provided.

This bill would require these audits to be performed in accordance with government auditing standards for financial and compliance audits and would impose various other requirements on these audits, thus imposing a state-mandated local program. The bill would require the Controller to review the audits under specified procedures—and would make an annual appropriation to the Controller from the General Fund of up to \$600,000 for that purpose.

(2) Existing law provides for the establishment and operation of various special districts, the composition of their governing boards, and the payment to governing board members for attending meetings and performing other duties.

This bill would require the governing boards of special districts to conduct legal and ethics orientation sessions that governing board  $SB 393 \qquad \qquad -2-$ 

3

4

10

members would be required to attend, if they choose to receive compensation for their activities.

This bill would also specify whistle-blower protections for members or employees who make protected disclosures of improper governmental activities, as specified.

This bill would define the meetings for which compensation may be paid to members of governing boards of special districts and would limit travel expenses, as specified.

(3) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that with regard to certain mandates no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

With regard to any other mandates, this bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs so mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

Vote: <sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>-majority. Appropriation: <del>yes</del> no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 26909 of the Government Code is 2 amended to read:
  - 26909. (a) The county auditor shall either make or contract with a certified public accountant or public accountant to make an annual audit of the accounts and records of every special purpose district within the county for which an audit by a certified public accountant or public accountant is not otherwise provided. In each case, the minimum requirements of the audit shall be prescribed by the Controller and shall conform to generally accepted government auditing standards.
- 11 (b) Where an audit of a district's accounts and records is made 12 by a certified public accountant or public accountant, the 13 minimum requirements of the audit shall be prescribed by the 14 Controller and shall conform to generally accepted government 15 auditing standards, and a report on the audit shall be filed with 16 the Controller and with the county auditor of the county in which

-3- SB 393

the district is located. The report shall be filed within 12 months of the end of the fiscal year or years under examination.

- (c) Any costs incurred by the county auditor, including contracts with, or employment of, certified public accountants or public accountants, in making an audit of every special purpose district pursuant to this section shall be borne by the district and shall be a charge against any unencumbered funds of the district available for the purpose.
- (d) For joint districts lying within two or more counties, the above provisions shall apply to the auditor of the county in which the treasury is located.
- (e) The county controller, or ex officio county controller, shall implement this section in those counties having a county controller, or ex officio county controller.
- (f) A special district may, by unanimous request of the governing board of the special district, with unanimous approval of the board of supervisors, replace the annual audit with a biennial audit covering a two-year period or, if the district's annual budget does not exceed an amount specified by the board of supervisors, an audit covering a five-year period.

Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this section to the contrary, districts shall be exempt from the requirement of an annual audit if the financial statements are audited by the Controller to satisfy federal audit requirements.

- (g) A board of supervisors may substitute a financial review in accordance with definitions promulgated by the United States Government Accountability Office for the audit of a special district as required by this section, provided that all of the following conditions are met:
- (1) The board of supervisors is the governing board of the district.
- (2) The special district's revenues and expenditures are transacted through the county's financial systems.
- (3) The special district's annual revenues do not exceed one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000).
- 36 SEC. 2. Section 26909.5 is added to the Government Code, to read:
  - 26909.5. (a) (1) It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this section to promote accountability over public revenues by establishing a new program to review and report on financial and

SB 393 —4—

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

compliance audits of special districts. It is further the intent of the Legislature that the Controller shall have the primary responsibility for implementing and overseeing this program through the special oversight program.

- (2) "Auditor" as used in this section means an external audit firm hired by the district to conduct its annual financial audit.
- (b) Financial and compliance audits shall be performed in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards for financial and compliance audits. The audit guide prepared by the Controller shall be used in the performance of these audits. The Controller shall also require that special district auditors conduct testing of transactions considered high risk for abuse. Both the nature and the extent of the testing and the transactions to be tested shall be specifically defined in the audit guide prepared by the Controller. The additional testing shall occur during each district's audit cycle's regular financial statement audit or as a separate audit within that cycle. Special districts shall provide funding for all costs associated with conducting the audit of high-risk transactions. An attestation report on the application of agreed-upon procedures shall be prepared in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards and shall specifically and separately address each of the compliance requirements included in the audit guide stating the auditor's findings regarding the district's compliance with those requirements. For each compliance requirement included in the audit guide, every attestation report shall further state that the suggested procedures included in the audit guide for that requirement—was were performed, if that is the case, or, if not, what other procedures were followed. If compliance exceptions are identified, the audit report shall state all instances of noncompliance. An auditor shall not engage in financial or compliance audits unless, within three years of commencing the first of the audits, and every successive three years thereafter, the auditor completes a peer review in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. To determine the practicability and effectiveness of the audits and audit guide, the Controller, using an appropriate sampling procedure, shall determine whether audit reports are in conformance with reporting provisions of *generally accepted* government auditing standards and shall notify each special district and the auditor

-5- SB 393

regarding each determination. The special district contracting for the financial and compliance audit shall include a statement that provides the Controller access to audit working papers.

- (c) (1) The Controller may perform quality control reviews of audit working papers to determine whether audits are performed in conformity with subdivision (b). The Controller shall communicate the results of his or her reviews to the auditor, and the special district for which the review was performed, and shall review his or her findings with the auditor.
- (2) Prior to the performance of any quality control reviews, the Controller shall develop and publish guidelines and standards for those reviews. Pursuant to the development of those guidelines and standards, the Controller shall provide opportunity for public comment.
- (3) (A) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, the Controller shall conduct a quality control review of the audit working papers of the auditor who performed the audits for a special district if either of the following applies.
- (i) The Controller has reason to believe that public revenues were not appropriately utilized.
- (ii) There is reason to believe that a special district report is false, incomplete, or incorrect.
- (B) If the quality control review of the Controller indicates that the audit was conducted in a manner that may constitute unprofessional conduct as defined pursuant to Section 5100 of the Business and Professions Code, including, but not limited to, gross negligence resulting in a material misstatement in the audit, the Controller shall refer the case to the California Board of Accountancy. If the California Board of Accountancy finds that the auditor engaged in unprofessional conduct, as defined pursuant to Section 5100 of the Business and Professions Code, the Controller may restrict or place conditions on the ability of the auditor to audit a special district for a period of *up to* three years.
- (4) The legislative body of a special district may refer an auditor of a special district to the California Board of Accountancy for action pursuant to paragraph (3) if an audit of a special district was conducted in a manner that may constitute unprofessional conduct as defined by Section 5100 of the

 $SB 393 \qquad \qquad -6-$ 

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

29

30

31 32 Business and Professions Code, including, but not limited to, gross negligence resulting in a material misstatement in the audit.

- (d) The Controller shall conduct any additional audits that are necessary to carry out any of his or her statutory duties and responsibilities. Nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize any special district, or any subcontractor or subrecipient, to constrain, in any manner, the Controller from carrying out any additional audits. However, to the extent that the required financial and compliance audits do not provide the Controller with the information necessary to carry out his or her responsibilities, the Controller shall plan additional audits as appropriate to avoid any unnecessary duplication of audit efforts. In performing these additional audits, the Controller shall, to the extent deemed appropriate under the circumstances, build upon the work performed during the required financial and compliance audit. The Controller shall receive reimbursement from the special districts for the costs of these additional audits. The special district may recover the costs for the audit performed by the Controller's office from the private auditing firm that conducted the audit if the auditing firm is found by the California Board of Accountancy to have failed to conduct a thorough and complete audit.
- (e) The sum of up to six hundred thousand dollars (\$600,000) is hereby appropriated annually from the General Fund to the Controller as necessary to provide sufficient funding for one audit manager, four audit specialists, and other expenses to implement and operate the special district oversight program.
- SEC. 3. Chapter 7.5 (commencing with Section 60210) is added to Division 1 of Title 6 of the Government Code, to read:

CHAPTER 7.5. SPECIAL DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARDS

# Article 1. General Provisions

33 34 35

> 36 37

> 38

39

40

60210. (a) "Member" as used in this chapter, means a member of the governing board of a special district.

(b) "Special district," as used in this chapter, means an agency of the state that is formed pursuant to a general or special act for the local performance of governmental or proprietary functions within limited boundaries and that has a governing board, all of \_7\_ SB 393

whose members are elected by registered voters or landowners within the special district or whose members are appointed. "Special district" excludes any special district having a governing board consisting, in whole or part, of ex officio members who are officers of a county, city, or another special district. Special district also excludes any special district whose members are appointees of those officers, other than those who are appointed to serve for fixed terms. "Special district" excludes the state, a county, a city, a school district, a community facilities district, an air quality district or other regulatory district having responsibilities related to the protection of public health. "Special district" also excludes a joint powers authority consisting solely of cities, counties, or one or more cities and one or more counties.

60211. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the governing board of every special district shall comply with the requirements of this chapter.

### Article 2. Ethics

- 60215. (a) If the special district provides any type of compensation, salary, stipend, or reimbursement to a member of the board of directors, each member of a governing board of a special district, except a member whose term of office ends before January 1, 2007, serving on January 1, 2006, and each designated employee shall receive training in ethical standards of conduct before January 1, 2007.
- (b) Each member of a governing board and each designated employee who commences serving a special district on or after January 1, 2006, shall receive training in ethical standards of conduct no later than one year from the first day of service to the special district. Thereafter, members of governing boards shall receive training in ethical standards of conduct at least once every two years if the board members choose to receive compensation for their activities as board members.
- 60216. (a) A special district, at least once annually, shall offer to the members of its governing board and each designated employee training in ethical standards of conduct. A special district may identify conferences, seminars, online or videotaped training as currently available through the Attorney General's

SB 393 -8-

office for statewide officers, or other courses that meet the requirements of this section.

- (b) The Fair Political Practices Commission and the Attorney General shall jointly develop curriculum appropriate for the training in ethical standards of conduct for members of the special district governing board and designated employees.
- (c) The requirements of this article shall be met by training in ethical standards of conduct and shall include information regarding potential ethical abuses relating to, but not limited to, all of the following matters:
- (1) The direct and indirect business relationships among members of governing boards, contractors, and vendors, and between members of governing boards and officers and employees of other public agencies.
- (2) The solicitation of campaign contributions by members of governing boards, officers, or employees, and the receipt of contributions from bidders, contractors, or subcontractors.
  - (3) The use of special district funds for personal expenses.
- 60217. Notwithstanding Section 60215, a member of a governing board who serves more than one special district shall only be required to meet the requirements of this section once every two years without regard to the number of special districts with which he or she is affiliated. A member of a governing board who meets the requirements of Section 60215 for one special district shall not be required by another special district with which he or she is affiliated to receive additional training in ethical standards of conduct.
- 60218. A special district shall maintain records indicating the dates that the members of its governing board and each designated employee received training in ethical standards of conduct, and the agency or entity that conducted the training. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a special district shall maintain these records for at least five years after the members and executive officers receive the training. A special district shall make these records available to the public for inspection and copying.

\_9\_ SB 393

## Article 2.5. Improper Governmental Activity

60221. Employees of special districts are afforded protections for filing complaints under subdivision (h) of Section 12940, Article 4.5 (commencing with Section 53296) of Chapter 2 of Division 2 of Title 5, and Sections 98.6, 132, 1102.5 to 1106, inclusive, and 6310 of the Labor Code. Employees shall be informed of these rights pursuant to Section 1102.8 of the Labor Code.

### Article 3. Compensation and Benefits

- 60225. (a) Compensation for governing board members may be increased annually by a majority vote of the board enacting a referendable ordinance. The board shall vote in public session at a meeting that complies with the Ralph M. Brown Act (Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 54950) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5) notice requirements, and a vote shall be taken each time compensation is to be increased. The increase shall be tied to the California Consumer Price Index, as determined by the Division of Labor Statistics and Research of the Department of Industrial Relations, not to exceed 5 percent per year.
- (b) For purposes of this section, compensation may be paid to board members for meetings that come within the following definition:
- (1) Attendance at a meeting of that special district that is conducted pursuant to the Ralph M. Brown Act (Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 54950) of Division 2 of Title 5).
- (2) Representation of that special district at a public event, provided that the board of directors has approved approves the member's representation at a board meeting and that the member delivers a written report to the governing board regarding the member's representation at the next board meeting following the public event.
- (3) Representation of that special district at a public meeting or a public hearing conducted by another public agency, including, but not limited to, a city, county, special district, school district, community redevelopment agency, joint powers agency, a regional agency, board, or commission, a state agency, board, or commission, the Legislature, or the Congress, provided

SB 393 -10-

that the board of directors—has approved approves the member's representation at a board meeting and that the member delivers a written report to the governing board regarding the member's representation at the next board meeting following the public meeting or public hearing.

- (4) Participation in a program, conference, workshop, meeting with district staff or seminar on a topic that is directly related to the special district, including, but not limited to, training in ethical standards of conduct pursuant to Article 2 (commencing with Section 60215), provided that the board of directors—has approved approves the member's participation at a board meeting and that the member delivers a written report to the governing board regarding the member's participation at the next board meeting following the program.
- 60225.5. (a) If the principal act of a special district authorizes a special district to reimburse the expenses of its governing board members or employees, subject to collective bargaining agreements, the special district shall follow the Internal Revenue Service's accountable plan guidelines. However, the amount of reimbursement may not exceed the amounts permitted for state employees pursuant to Sections 599.615 to 599.638.1, inclusive, of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations for in-state travel. For out-of-state or international travel, the reimbursement shall be based on reasonable costs using state government rates as a guideline for determining a reasonable amount of reimbursement. Approval of the reimbursement rate shall occur during a noticed, public meeting.
- (b) Any reconciliation of advance payments for expenses and any reimbursement for expenses paid to a special district's governing board members or employees shall be supported by receipts and shall be approved by the board of directors in a noticed, public meeting.

#### Article 4. Audits

- 60230. (a) Special districts shall cause audits to be performed in compliance with Section 26909.
- (b) In addition to the requirements of Section 26909, the governing board of a special district shall do all of the following:

—11— SB 393

(1) Require the auditor to meet directly with the governing board in an open session with the opportunity for public discussion of the auditor's findings consistent with the requirements of the Ralph M. Brown Act (Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 54950) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5).

- (2) Prohibit a public accounting firm from providing audit services to a special district if the lead audit partner, or coordinating audit partner, having primary responsibility for the audit, or the audit partner responsible for reviewing the audit, has performed audit services for that special district for more than the six previous fiscal years, before the fiscal year being audited. If the auditor-controller is performing these audits rather than a public accounting firm, then the lead auditor or coordinating principals performing the audit within the auditor-controller's office shall also comply with these requirements. The Controller may waive this requirement if he or she finds no otherwise eligible auditor is available at a reasonable cost to perform the audit. This requirement shall be waived if both of the following occur: the district went out to bid for an audit, but no other qualified auditing firm responded to the bid, and the district notified the public of this action at a publicly noticed meeting. The 2006 calendar 2006-07 fiscal year is the base year for determining whether a rotation shall be implemented.
- (c) The Controller may audit any special district that is not in compliance with the prescribed standards at the expense of the special district.
- (d) The special district may recover the costs for the audit performed by the Controller's office as referenced in subdivision (c) from the private auditing firm that conducted the original audit if the auditing firm is found by the California Board of Accountancy to have failed to conduct a thorough and complete audit.
- SEC. 4. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution for certain costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school district because, in that regard, this act creates a new crime or infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code, or changes the definition of a

SB 393 —12—

- 1 crime within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.
- 3 However, if the Commission on State Mandates determines
- 4 that this act contains other costs mandated by the state,
- 5 reimbursement to local agencies and school districts for those
- 6 costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section
- 7 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.